

# Support for Sport

## Scoring Matrix

Club Name \_\_\_\_\_ Ref Number \_\_\_\_\_

### QUESTIONS

The following questions will be used to assess each project:

Criteria	Questions
<b>Project (40%)</b>	Tell us about your project. What will you do? When will you do it? Where will you do it? How will you do it? Who will benefit? Catering for underrepresented groups will be taken into consideration when scoring this question
<b>Need (30%)</b>	How did you identify the need for your project? Location of club will be taken into consideration when scoring this question
<b>Sustainability (20%)</b>	How will you ensure that your project will assist with sustained participation?
<b>Project Costs (10%)</b>	Is cost breakdown clear, eligible and value for money

### ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

To ensure consistency and efficiency:

- Each area is marked within a manageable range, but a range that is also wide enough to differentiate between the quality of proposed projects (i.e. 0-10);
- Each area carries a weighting, subject to the significance of that area in relation to the programme priorities. For example, all questions will be scored out of 10 but weighted accordingly.

SAMPLE SCORING – Project			
Classification	Score	Comments	Considerations
Excellent	9 - 10	The project is clearly defined, well-planned, and has the potential to deliver a significant impact in relation to the provision opportunities to participate in sport & physical recreation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What will they do?-sports</li> <li>• When will they do it?- day/times</li> <li>• Where will they do it?-venue</li> <li>• How will they do it?-coaches/volunteers</li> <li>• Who will benefit?-target groups</li> <li>• Sports / Activities delivered relevant</li> <li>• Increase in participation among underrepresented groups</li> <li>• Introduction of new sports/sections</li> </ul>
Good	7 - 8	The applicant has provided a good level of detail in relation to the proposed project, and the project is likely to deliver a positive impact in relation to the provision of opportunities to participate in sport & physical recreation.	
Reasonable	5 - 6	Based on the detail provided by the applicant, it is anticipated that the proposed project will deliver a reasonable impact in relation to the provision of opportunities to participate in sport & physical recreation.	
Limited	3 - 4	Based on the detail provided by the applicant, it is anticipated that the proposed project will deliver a limited impact in relation to the provision of opportunities to participate in sport & physical recreation.	
Weak	1 - 2	The applicant has not provided enough relevant details about the proposed project and the impact this will have on increasing the provision of opportunities in sport and physical recreation.	
None	0	The applicant has provided no evidence of a project that will increase participation in sport & physical recreation.	

Underrepresented Groups Score \_\_\_\_\_/10 + Score Allocated \_\_\_\_\_/10 x 3 weighting    Percentage Score \_\_\_\_\_/40

SAMPLE SCORING – Need			
Classification	Score	Comments	Considerations
Excellent	9 - 10	The project is clearly defined, the need for this project is clearly articulated and the applicant has provided excellent rational and evidence to justify any potential investment into this project.	<p>Have they consulted with potential participants? How (surveys, meetings, focus groups) and what where the outcomes.</p> <p>How does your project fit strategically with relevant stake-holders? GB, Sport Matters, Council, Health etc.</p> <p>Is there evidence of social need? NISRA stats and the relevance of these to the project, barriers to participation e.g. cost, access. Rural Isolation.</p>
Good	7 - 8	The applicant has provided a good level of detail in relation to the proposed need for this project.	
Reasonable	5 - 6	The applicant has provided a reasonable level of detail in relation to the proposed need for this project.	
Limited	3 - 4	The applicant has provided a limited level of detail in relation to the proposed need for this project.	
Weak	1 - 2	The applicant has provided a weak argument to demonstrate need for this project.	
None	0	The applicant has provided no evidence to demonstrate a need for this project.	

Location Score \_\_\_\_\_/10 + Score Allocated \_\_\_\_\_/10 x 2 weighting    Percentage Score \_\_\_\_\_/30

### SAMPLE SCORING – SUSTAINABILITY

Classification	Score	Comments	Considerations
Excellent	9 - 10	The applicant has provided an excellent amount of detail about how to demonstrated that the project will leave a lasting benefit for the organisation upon completion.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase membership/exit routes</li> <li>• Relevant Coach Education/ Volunteer Training</li> <li>• Indication of measures taking to keep the programme going/create a legacy.</li> <li>• Fundraising/additional funding</li> <li>• Links with other organisations to sustain participation/project.</li> <li>• Formal plans to sustain participation e.g. as part of club development plan.</li> </ul>
Good	7 - 8	The applicant has provided a good level of detail to demonstrate that the project will leave a lasting benefit for the organisation upon completion.	
Reasonable	5 - 6	The applicant has provided a reasonable level of detail to demonstrate that the project will leave a lasting benefit for the organisation upon completion.	
Limited	3 - 4	The applicant has provided a limited level of detail to demonstrate that the project will leave a lasting benefit for the organisation upon completion.	
Weak	1 - 2	The applicant has provided a weak argument to demonstrate that the project will leave a lasting benefit for the organisation upon completion.	
None	0	The applicant has provided no evidence to demonstrate that the project will leave a lasting benefit for the organisation upon completion.	

Score Allocated \_\_\_\_\_/10 x 2 weighting

Percentage Score \_\_\_\_\_/20

### SAMPLE SCORING – PROJECT COSTS

Classification	Score	Comments	Considerations
Excellent	9 - 10	The applicant has provided an excellent amount of detail in relation to costs and ensuring value for money	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do project costs relate to project description</li> <li>• Cost breakdown clear</li> <li>• Are cost eligible</li> <li>• Are costs value for money</li> <li>• Are club making a contribution?</li> </ul>
Good	7 - 8	The applicant has provided a good level of detail in relation to costs and ensuring value for money	
Reasonable	5 - 6	The applicant has provided a reasonable level of detail in relation to costs and ensuring value for money	
Limited	3 - 4	The applicant has provided a limited level of detail in relation to costs and ensuring value for money	
Weak	1 - 2	The applicant has provided a weak argument in relation to costs and ensuring value for money	
None	0	The applicant has provided no evidence in relation to costs and ensuring value for money	

Score Allocated \_\_\_\_\_/10

Percentage Score \_\_\_\_\_/10

#### **Ability to deliver previous project**

**Full drawdown will result in 0 marking**

**Partial funding drawn down > 80% will have a -1 marking**

**Partial funding drawn down < 80% will have a -2 marking**

**Not processed or de-committed will have a -3 marking.**

**Score \_\_\_\_\_**

**Scoring Matrix**

**Ref Number** \_\_\_\_\_

**Declaration of Interest by:** \_\_\_\_\_

Total Eligible Costs to be funded:

---

---

---

**Assessment Officers**

**Declaration of Interest**

---

---

---

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Overall Score:**

**Score =**

**Percentage Score =**

**Total Percentage Score Given:**

**Threshold %**

**Total Eligible Funding: £**

Grant Aid Scoring Threshold for Sports Grants set at 60% ---Applicants must score above this threshold in order to be considered for funding